### Module 4: Dictionaries and Balanced Search Trees

### CS 240 - Data Structures and Data Management

Jason Hinek and Arne Storjohann Based on lecture notes by R. Dorrigiv and D. Roche

David R. Cheriton School of Computer Science, University of Waterloo

Winter 2012

## Dictionary ADT

A *dictionary* is a collection of *items*, each of which contains a *key* and some *data* and is called a *key-value pair* (KVP). Keys can be compared and are (typically) unique.

#### Operations:

- search(k)
- insert(k, v)
- delete(k)
- optional: join, isEmpty, size, etc.

Examples: symbol table, license plate database

# Elementary Implementations

#### Common assumptions:

- Dictionary has n KVPs
- Each KVP uses constant space (if not, the "value" could be a pointer)
- Comparing keys takes constant time

#### Unordered array or linked list

```
search \Theta(n)
insert \Theta(1)
delete \Theta(n) (need to search)
```


#### Ordered array

```
search \Theta(\log n)
insert \Theta(n)
delete \Theta(n)
```


# Binary Search Trees (review)

Structure A BST is either empty or contains a KVP, left child BST, and right child BST.


Ordering Every key k in T.left is less than the root key. Every key k in T.right is greater than the root key.




search(k) Compare k to current node, stop if found, else recurse on subtree unless it's empty




search(k) Compare k to current node, stop if found, else recurse on subtree unless it's empty



search(k) Compare k to current node, stop if found, else recurse on subtree unless it's empty




search(k) Compare k to current node, stop if found, else recurse on subtree unless it's empty




search(k) Compare k to current node, stop if found, else recurse on subtree unless it's empty insert(k, v) Search for k, then insert (k, v) as new node


Example: insert(24,...)




• If node is a leaf, just delete it.




• If node is a leaf, just delete it.




- If node is a leaf, just delete it.
- If node has one child, move child up




- If node is a leaf, just delete it.
- If node has one child, move child up




- If node is a leaf, just delete it.
- If node has one child, move child up
- Else, swap with successor or predecessor node and then delete



- If node is a leaf, just delete it.
- If node has one child, move child up
- Else, swap with *successor* or *predecessor* node and then delete



- If node is a leaf, just delete it.
- If node has one child, move child up
- Else, swap with successor or predecessor node and then delete



search, insert, delete all have cost  $\Theta(h)$ , where h = height of the tree = max. path length from root to leaf

If *n* items are *insert*ed one-at-a-time, how big is *h*?

Worst-case:

search, insert, delete all have cost  $\Theta(h)$ , where h = height of the tree = max. path length from root to leaf

If *n* items are *insert*ed one-at-a-time, how big is *h*?

- Worst-case:  $n-1 = \Theta(n)$
- Best-case:

search, insert, delete all have cost  $\Theta(h)$ , where h = height of the tree = max. path length from root to leaf

If *n* items are *insert*ed one-at-a-time, how big is *h*?

- Worst-case:  $n-1 = \Theta(n)$
- Best-case:  $\lg(n+1) 1 = \Theta(\log n)$
- Average-case:

search, insert, delete all have cost  $\Theta(h)$ , where h = height of the tree = max. path length from root to leaf

If *n* items are *insert*ed one-at-a-time, how big is *h*?

- Worst-case:  $n-1 = \Theta(n)$
- Best-case:  $\lg(n+1) 1 = \Theta(\log n)$
- Average-case:  $\Theta(\log n)$  (just like recursion depth in *quick-sort1*)

#### **AVL Trees**

```
Introduced by Adel'son-Vel'skiĭ and Landis in 1962, an AVL Tree is a BST with an additional structural property: The heights of the left and right subtree differ by at most 1.
```

(The height of an empty tree is defined to be -1.)

At each non-empty node, we store  $height(R) - height(L) \in \{-1, 0, 1\}$ :

- -1 means the tree is *left-heavy* 
  - 0 means the tree is balanced
  - 1 means the tree is *right-heavy*

#### **AVL Trees**

```
Introduced by Adel'son-Vel'skiĭ and Landis in 1962, an AVL Tree is a BST with an additional structural property: The heights of the left and right subtree differ by at most 1.
```

(The height of an empty tree is defined to be -1.)

At each non-empty node, we store  $height(R) - height(L) \in \{-1, 0, 1\}$ :

- -1 means the tree is *left-heavy* 
  - 0 means the tree is balanced
  - 1 means the tree is *right-heavy*
- We could store the actual height, but storing balances is simpler and more convenient.


### **AVL** insertion

### To perform insert(T, k, v):

- First, insert (k, v) into T using usual BST insertion
- Then, move up the tree from the new leaf, updating balance factors.
- If the balance factor is -1, 0, or 1, then keep going.
- If the balance factor is  $\pm 2$ , then call the *fix* algorithm to "rebalance" at that node.

### How to "fix" an unbalanced AVL tree


Goal: change the structure without changing the order



Notice that if heights of A, B, C, D differ by at most 1, then the tree is a proper AVL tree.


# Right Rotation

This is a *right rotation* on node z:



# Right Rotation


This is a *right rotation* on node *z*:



**Note**: Only two edges need to be moved, and two balances updated.

### Left Rotation

This is a *left rotation* on node z:



Again, only two edges need to be moved and two balances updated.

### Pseudocode for rotations

### rotate-right(T)

T: AVL tree

returns rotated AVL tree

- 1.  $newroot \leftarrow T.left$
- 2.  $T.left \leftarrow newroot.right$
- 3.  $newroot.right \leftarrow T$
- 4. **return** *newroot*

#### rotate-left(T)


T: AVL tree

returns rotated AVL tree

- 1.  $newroot \leftarrow T.right$
- 2.  $T.right \leftarrow newroot.left$
- 3.  $newroot.left \leftarrow T$
- 4. **return** newroot

## Double Right Rotation


This is a *double right rotation* on node *z*:



First, a left rotation on the left subtree (y).

### Double Right Rotation


This is a *double right rotation* on node *z*:



First, a left rotation on the left subtree (y). Second, a right rotation on the whole tree (z).

#### Double Left Rotation

This is a *double left rotation* on node z:



Right rotation on right subtree (y), followed by left rotation on the whole tree (z).

## Fixing a slightly-unbalanced AVL tree

Idea: Identify one of the previous 4 situations, apply rotations


```
T: AVL tree with T.balance =\pm 2
returns a balanced AVL tree
      if T.balance = -2 then
   if T.left.balance = 1 then
                T.left \leftarrow rotate-left(T.left)
3.
           return rotate-right(T)
5.
     else if T.balance = 2 then
6.
         if T.right.balance = -1 then
                T.right \leftarrow rotate-right(T.right)
7.
           return rotate-left(T)
8.
```

### **AVL Tree Operations**


```
search: Just like in BSTs, costs \Theta(height) insert: Shown already, total cost \Theta(height) fix will be called at most once.

delete: First search, then swap with successor (as with BSTs), then move up the tree and apply fix (as with insert). fix may be called \Theta(height) times. Total cost is \Theta(height).
```


### AVL tree examples





### AVL tree examples





### AVL tree examples




















## Height of an AVL tree

Define N(h) to be the *least* number of nodes in a height-h AVL tree.

One subtree must have height at least h-1, the other at least h-2:

$$N(h) = \left\{ egin{array}{ll} 1 + N(h-1) + N(h-2), & h \geq 1 \\ 1, & h = 0 \\ 0, & h = -1 \end{array} 
ight.$$

What sequence does this look like?

# Height of an AVL tree

Define N(h) to be the *least* number of nodes in a height-h AVL tree.

One subtree must have height at least h-1, the other at least h-2:

$$N(h) = \left\{ egin{array}{ll} 1 + N(h-1) + N(h-2), & h \geq 1 \ 1, & h = 0 \ 0, & h = -1 \end{array} 
ight.$$

What sequence does this look like? The Fibonacci sequence!

$$\mathit{N(h)} = \mathit{F_{h+3}} - 1 = \left\lceil rac{arphi^{h+3}}{\sqrt{5}} 
ight
vert - 1, ext{ where } arphi = rac{1 + \sqrt{5}}{2}$$

# **AVL Tree Analysis**

Easier lower bound on N(h):

$$N(h) > 2N(h-2) > 4N(h-4) > 8N(h-6) > \cdots > 2^{i}N(h-2i) \ge 2^{\lfloor h/2 \rfloor}$$

# **AVL Tree Analysis**

Easier lower bound on N(h):

$$N(h) > 2N(h-2) > 4N(h-4) > 8N(h-6) > \cdots > 2^{i}N(h-2i) \ge 2^{\lfloor h/2 \rfloor}$$

Since  $n > 2^{\lfloor h/2 \rfloor}$ ,  $h \le 2 \lg n$ , and an AVL tree with n nodes has height  $O(\log n)$ . Also,  $n \le 2^{h+1} - 1$ , so the height is  $\Theta(\log n)$ .

 $\Rightarrow$  search, insert, delete all cost  $\Theta(\log n)$ .

#### 2-3 Trees

A 2-3 Tree is like a BST with additional structual properties:

- Every node either contains one KVP and two children, or two KVPs and three children.
- All the leaves are at the same level.
   (A leaf is a node with empty children.)

Searching through a 1-node is just like in a BST.


For a 2-node, we must examine both keys and follow the appropriate path.


#### Insertion in a 2-3 tree


First, we search to find the leaf where the new key belongs.


If the leaf has only 1 KVP, just add the new one to make a 2-node.


Otherwise, order the three keys as a < b < c. Split the leaf into two 1-nodes, containing a and c, and (recursively) insert b into the parent along with the new link.

























#### Deletion from a 2-3 Tree


As with BSTs and AVL trees, we first swap the KVP with its successor, so that we always delete from a leaf.

Say we're deleting KVP x from a node V:


- If V is a 2-node, just delete x.
- Elself V has a 2-node immediate sibling U, perform a transfer:
   Put the "intermediate" KVP in the parent between V and U into V, and replace it with the adjacent KVP from U.
- Otherwise, we merge V and a 1-node sibling U:
   Remove V and (recursively) delete the "intermediate" KVP from the parent, adding it to U.









## Example: delete(19)





### Example: delete(19)





### Example: delete(19)














#### **B-Trees**

The 2-3 Tree is a specific type of B-tree:

A *B-tree of minsize d* is a search tree satisfying:

- Each node contains at most 2d KVPs.
   Each non-root node contains at least d KVPs.
- All the leaves are at the same level.

Some people call this a B-tree of order (2d+1), or a (d+1,2d+1)-tree. A 2-3 tree has d=1.

search, insert, delete work just like for 2-3 trees.

### Height of a B-tree

What is the least number of KVPs in a height-h B-tree?

Level	Nodes	Node size	KVPs
0	1	1	1
1	2	d	2 <i>d</i>
2	2(d+1)	d	2d(d+1)
3	$2(d+1)^2$	d	$2d(d+1)^2$
• • •	• • •	• • •	• • •
h	$2(d+1)^{h-1}$	d	$2d(d+1)^{h-1}$

Total: 
$$1 + \sum_{i=0}^{h-1} 2d(d+1)^i = 2(d+1)^h - 1$$

Therefore height of tree with n nodes is  $\Theta((\log n)/(\log d))$ .

# Analysis of B-tree operations

Assume each node stores its KVPs and child-pointers in a dictionary that supports  $O(\log d)$  search, insert, and delete.

Then *search*, *insert*, and *delete* work just like for 2-3 trees, and each require  $\Theta(height)$  node operations.

Total cost is 
$$O\left(\frac{\log n}{\log d} \cdot (\log d)\right) = O(\log n)$$
.

# Dictionaries in external memory

Tree-based data structures have poor  $memory\ locality$ : If an operation accesses m nodes, then it must access m spaced-out memory locations.

**Observation**: Accessing a single location in *external memory* (e.g. hard disk) automatically loads a whole block (or "page").

In an AVL tree or 2-3 tree,  $\Theta(\log n)$  pages are loaded in the worst case.

If d is small enough so a 2d-node fits into a single page, then a B-tree of minsize d only loads  $\Theta((\log n)/(\log d))$  pages.

This can result in a *huge* savings: memory access is often the largest time cost in a computation.

#### B-tree variations

Max size 2d + 1: Permitting one additional KVP in each node allows *insert* and *delete* to avoid *backtracking* via *pre-emptive splitting* and *pre-emptive merging*.

**Red-black trees**: Identical to a B-tree with minsize 1 and maxsize 3, but each 2-node or 3-node is represented by 2 or 3 binary nodes, and each node holds a "color" value of red or black.

**B**<sup>+</sup>-trees: All KVPs are stored at the leaves (interior nodes just have keys), and the leaves are linked sequentially.